HP6

where t(P) is the linear dimension of the alkali metal as a function of pressure, V(P) is its volume, and  $V_H(P)$  is the normalized Hall voltage. V(0) = t(0) = 1. t(P) corrects for the change of Hall voltage due to the change of thickness of the sample under pressure and V(P) corrects for the change of electron density under pressure. The values of V(P) are taken from Bridgman's compressibility data [2,3]; the values of t(P) are computed from V(P). n<sup>\*</sup> is normalized to unity at atmospheric pressure.

The curves of  $n^*$  for lithium and sodium shown in Figs. 3. 10 and 11 were computed from the average of the least square slopes of  $V_H$  vs. pressure for the four sodium samples and two lithium samples. The curves of  $n^*$  for potassium, rubidium, and cesium, shown in Figs. 2. 12, 13 and 14, were obtained from values of  $V_H$  read from the curves for specific samples. This was done as a matter of convenience, as we were interested in fitting the general shape of the  $n^*$  vs. pressure curve and for this purpose the curve for a typical sample was sufficiently accurate. In the case of potassium, where the Hall voltage curves differed between samples, we give  $n^*$  for the same two samples III-99 and III-115 whose curves of  $V_H$  vs. pressure appear in Fig. 3-4. These curves indicate the direction, size, and range of the effect in potassium, but because of the limited reproducibility their details cannot be considered meaningful.

## B. Hall Voltage and n vs. Temperature

Figure 3-15 shows  $n^*$  vs. temperature for lithium. The values of  $n^*$  are computed directly from the measured values of  $V_H$  by using the value of the thermal expansion coefficient given by Bridgman [2]. All the points were taken as temperature increased, since when the heater was on, the nitrogen boiled away too rapidly to permit a series of points at decreasing temperatures to be measured.

Table 3-1 shows the values of normalized Hall voltage at room and nitrogen temperatures for sodium, potassium, rubidium, and cesium. Values of n<sup>\*</sup> are also given except for the case of cesium, where no value of the thermal expansion coefficient is available [4].

-39-

HP6

## C. Absolute Values of n

-40-

In the course of interpreting the results we became concerned with the absolute value of  $n^*$ ; in particular we noticed that the literature values of the Hall constant for sodium and potassium gave  $n^*$  greater than unity (Table 1-1). We expected, for reasons that will be given in Sec. IV, that  $n^*$  should be less than one and decided to compute the absolute value of the Hall constant from our data where possible. In Fig. 3-16, 17, and 18 we plot  $2V_H$  vs. the reciprocal sample thickness for lithium, sodium and potassium. In fitting a straight line to the points we gave more weight to those points corresponding to thick samples, since the relative error in the thickness of these samples is less.

Table 3-2 lists the free electron calculated values of the Hall constant, the values of Hall constant obtained from the slopes of the lines in Figs. 3-16to 3-18, and the values of  $n^*$ . For convenience we also include the values of  $n^*$  corresponding to the literature values of Hall constant, which have already been tabulated in Table 1-1.

We believe the electrical portion of our measurement is accurate to better than 2 percent; the accuracy of the voltage measurement is about 1 percent and the current and magnetic field measurements are each accurate to better than 1/2 percent. The thickness measurement, accurate to .001'', gives a 10 percent error on the thin (.010'') samples and an error of less than 5 percent on the thicker (.020'' to .050'') samples. Since the latter were favored in fitting the data, we estimate the error due to the thickness measurement as 5 percent. The overall accuracy of the measurement is 7 percent. The literature values of n<sup>\*</sup> from the work of Studer and Williams [5], who quote an accuracy of 6 percent for sodium and 5 percent for potassium, disagree with ours. We shall discuss the reasons for expecting n<sup>\*</sup> to be less than unity in Sec. IV.